UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,626	04/25/2005	Friedrich Boecking	R.304052	6792
2119 RONALD E. C	7590 03/08/2007 GREIGG		EXAMINER	
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C.			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
ALEXANDRI	TAN STREET, UNIT ONE A, VA 22314	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
CHORTENED CTATITO	NA BEDIOD OF BEGDONGS	WAY DATE		
SHOK TENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			d			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	10/532,626	BOECKING, FRIEDRICH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Trevor McGraw	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	nuary 2007					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-19,25-26 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-19,25,26 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/532,626

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 8-19, 25-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stoeklein et al. (US Patent Publication 2002/0134853).

In regard to claims 8-19, 25-26 and 28 Stoecklein et al. teaches a fuel injection device for an internal combustion engine where the device comprises a control chamber (58), a control valve (76) that is movable in three positions-two end positions and at least one intermediary position that is located between a high pressure side (52) and a low pressure side (66") and operates to open or block communication of the control chamber (58) with the low pressure side (66"). Stoecklein et al. also teaches an outlet throttle (66") that is located between the control valve (76) and the low pressure side (66") with a piezoelectric actuator (68) means to move the control valve (68) between all three positions where the control valve blocks communication between the low pressure side and the control chamber in its first position, communication between the control chamber and the low pressure side via the first outlet conduit (66) when the control

valve is in its second position and communication between the control chamber and the low pressure side via a second outlet conduit (74) when the control valve is in its third position. The fuel injection device of Stoecklein et al. further teaches a fuel injection device having an outlet throttle (86) of the second outlet conduit (74) that has a higher throttle resistance than the outlet throttle (66") on the low pressure side. The control chamber also communicates with the low-pressure side via the second outlet conduit (74) as well. The control valve of Stoecklein et al., is embodied as a double seat valve having a valve body (76) that is axially adjustable within a valve chamber (78) between two valve seats (80 and 82) where valve seat (82) communicates with the first outlet conduit (66) and the second valve seat (80) communicates with the low-pressure side, and the valve chamber (78) communicates with the second outlet conduit (74).

The control chamber (58) of Stoecklein et al. is connected to the high-pressure side (52) via an inlet throttle (60) that has a lesser throttle resistance than the outlet throttle (86) of the second outlet conduit (74).

Examiner's Comment

Applicant's assertion that there is no communication between the control chamber (58) and the valve chamber (78) via the second outlet conduit (74) is not true. Examiner brings to Applicant's attention that the control chamber (58) communicates with the valve chamber (78) via a communing flow path where fuel can pass from the control chamber (58) through the first inlet throttle (60) and the second outlet conduit (74) through throttle (86) and vice versa. Examiner notes that there is a flow path where

Art Unit: 3752

fuel does communicate between the valve chamber (78) and the control chamber (58) and further asserts that the Stoecklein et al. reference does teach an outlet conduit (66") being located between the control valve and the low pressure side and that during the fuel injection process an imparted reactionary force is transmitted from the control chamber to the low pressure side through the valve chamber where communication or open path of flow exists between the control chamber and valve chamber through throttles and an outlet conduit. Applicant is directed to column 4 line 35 through column 6 line 67 of Stocklein et al. which clearly shows the communication of the valve chamber and the control chamber during the fuel injection process and how fuel can flow out of the control chamber (column 6 line 30-33).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Stoecklein et al. the bypass conduit 74 is not a outlet conduit for the control chamber 58) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, Exmainer views Applicant's limitation "communication",
"communicating" and derivations thereof to be capable of being open to one another.

Examiner makes of note that none of the conduit, throttles and chambers are
exclusively communicating directly with each other in any of the positions of Applicant's
control valve actuator. Examiner further makes note that if a dye indicator or fuel
additive was put into the fuel system, the dye indicator or fuel additive would be present

Application/Control Number: 10/532,626

Art Unit: 3752

in each part of the Stocklein et al. reference meaning that the dye indicator or fuel additive would be able to flow through the fuel injector control chamber, all conduits, throttles and other corresponding gaps, appertures and openings that permit a fluid path for fuel to flow into under a fuel injection process where high pressure fuel is introduced into a fuel injector on a high pressure side and flows either out of the injection openings into a combustion chamber or is retained in the injector body to flow back to a low pressure side when an actuator that induces an injection process is seated on a valve seat in at least a third position. Thus proving that communication exists between all fuel injector components of the Stockelin et al. reference. For these reasons, Examiner has maintained the rejection held against the Claims as described in the Office Action mailed 09/25/2006.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boecking (US 202/0074423), Boecking (US 6,616,062), Gibson et al. (US 5,669,355).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor McGraw Art Unit 3752

TEM ~

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700